Please tell us which meeting you attended	Please rate today's Public Meeting, from 1 - 5 (1 being poor, 5 being excellent)	Do you have any other comments for us about the organisation and running of the Public Meeting?
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	5 (Excellent)	Thankyou for keeping it on track, covering the important points and not getting it lost in Facebook originated misinformation.
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	4	A warm welcome on arrival. Venue good. Meeting was well chaired and very informative. We appreciated the directions for completing objections. Presentations were very clear.
		We did however expect there to be representation from Cemex at the meeting, particularly as they are wanting to establish themselves in the heart of our community and should be wanting to understand the views of people whose everyday lives will be affected by decisions they are making. It would have been a good opportunity for them to hear concerns direct from Hamble residents
		We are surprised that it is HCC who originally determined that Hamble was the best site for gravel extraction, and it is HCC who will determine whether Cemex planning application is approved. Surely this a conflict because the decision if it is not to grant it would undermine the original decision HCC made. We would respectfully ask that any site meetings that the Parish Council may have with Cemex and HCC are timed to coincide with rush hour in Hamble Lane so HCC representative who is making the decision can experience the true level of traffic congestion in Hamble Lane.
		Thank you very much for all you are doing to bring this to the attention of residents to maximise the opportunity to have our objections heard at this critical stage in the process.
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	5 (Excellent)	The timings worked well for people working full time The meeting was well chaired given difficult circumstances The presentations were clear and not too long Having a chance to hear the views of our MP on the matter was also very good
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	4	Having a chance to have a Q&A was also valuable  My only problem having hearing prblems, I feel the audio system could be better.If a portable mic could be given to people asking questions this would enable evryone to hear the questions, that said it was a well organised meeting. Thank you.
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	5 (Excellent)	Use the expertise in the room? An experienced construction worker queried the lorries' information in terms of weight and size. Might it be useful to pick his brains?
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	5 (Excellent)	Very helpful.
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	4	
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	5 (Excellent)	
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	5 (Excellent) 5 (Excellent)	
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	5 (Excellent)	
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	5 (Excellent)	
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	5 (Excellent)	
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	5 (Excellent)	
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	4	
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	5 (Excellent)	
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	5 (Excellent) 4	I thought it was all very good. Good clear contributions from all parties.  Unfortunately the room that held the meeting was cold to be sat down in for 1.5hrs.
		Otherwise, the meeting was well organised throughout.
Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm	3	Only that I would have liked more detail on the HPC response itself - what it was actually covering/absolute priority issues etc.
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	4	Well organised but time for public contributions I felt was too limited. Otherwise very useful and informative.
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	3	The meeting was well promoted and well organised. I know a few people who registered but didn't attend as at the last minute they realised they didn't know where it was (or how to get in). Admittedly we went down Coach Rd initially.
		I would have like to have had more facts to take away and quote in my objection - eg a copy of the traffic data from the HCC Hamble Lane improvements proposal, I think citing that could be key, as HCC themselves forecast unsustainable levels of traffic growth.
		II think more could have been made of the point that it ISN'T a done deal, as that is the general attitude, but overall it left me with a clearer idea on how to phrase my own objections.
Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm	5 (Excellent)	A very impressive well organised, chaired and presented public meeting. We came away from the meeting much better informed and more capable of putting together a hopefully effective response to the proposal. The balance of committee speakers and those from the audience were sensible and appropriate.
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	4	More time for questions Microphone to public, for questions (most could not hear question)

Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm  Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm  Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm  Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm  Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm  Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm  Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm  Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	5 (Excellent)  2  5 (Excellent)  5 (Excellent)  4  5 (Excellent)  5 (Excellent)	The contributions from the councillors and guest speakers was most informative, and obvious a substantial amount of research had taken place. I shall be composing another letter of objection regarding the application by Cemex, based on some suggestions from the meeting. A huge thank you to everyone involved. Kind regards Graham Tuck  I honestly expected the parish council to have a more structured approach that gave guidance on what the substance and content of the objections should be. They are after all using some of my council tax money to hire an expert to advise them and don't seem willing to openly share this advice with the community. As a resident I don't have the time or knowledge to do the research of the paid consultant. Residents were not given sufficient time to ask questions and the handling of the meeting by the chair was poor.  Perhaps give the microphone to speakers in the audience. Apart from that a good well organised meeting.  Well managed and very informative
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm  Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm  Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm  Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	5 (Excellent)  5 (Excellent)  4  5 (Excellent)	on what the substance and content of the objections should be. They are after all using some of my council tax money to hire an expert to advise them and don't seem willing to openly share this advice with the community. As a resident I don't have the time or knowledge to do the research of the paid consultant. Residents were not given sufficient time to ask questions and the handling of the meeting by the chair was poor.  Perhaps give the microphone to speakers in the audience. Apart from that a good well organised meeting.
Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	5 (Excellent) 4 5 (Excellent)	organised meeting.
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	4 5 (Excellent)	Well managed and very informative
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	5 (Excellent)	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	5 (Excellent)	All good .
	· 	Seriously impressed with the Parish Council and its organisation regarding this. I cannot believe the apathy in the village and so have been touting around the Royal Southern Yacht Club WhatsApp groups.
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	5 (Excellent)	Very good venue
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	5 (Excellent)	Maybe a roving mic for the audience participation section as some people are a little deaf.
Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm	4	It's good to hear of the progress being made and the support from all sides against gravel extraction. I think given the amount of information that was imparted, any meeting of this kind in the future should be extended to 2 hrs. It was great to hear about some of the research being done and good to see our MP attending the meeting too.
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	4	It was well organised and publicised. A good range of speakers, and it was kept (excellently) to time. The only comments l'd make are on dealing with public questions. This is Always extremely challenging but the lack of a roving mic was clearly tricky for some in the audience who were hard to hearing. Also it might have been helpful to keep reminding the public that this was a Q&A session, and not a rambling personal monologue sessionâe¹. I do know how hard it can be to chair such things though as a veteran of running panels at Academic conferences! All in all though huge thanks to PC for all the hard work on the Cemex application. Whatever the result councillors and staff have done an amazing job, way above what is normally expected from a PC. Thank you from this Hamble resident of he last 19 years.
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	5 (Excellent)	It was very well run and provided all the information that was needed at this time. Particularly Stephen Tilbury and Mark Venables with their updates on the state of the application and how it is viewed by HCC, and on the very important transport issues.  I thought the final speaker went on a bit too long on items we already knew about, but her information and enthusiasm was nevertheless very helpful.  This will help us (MARA) to finalise our concerns and objections ready for submission in the next few days.
Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm	3	Would have been. better to have the questions up front. So more could have been answered.
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	5 (Excellent)	Excellent presentation. Well organised and controlled. Kept to time. Thanks for all your hard work.
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	5 (Excellent)	Very informative & the right length
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	4	Well organised and controlled running exactly to time- congratulations to all involved
Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm	5 (Excellent) 5 (Excellent)	I felt the meeting was very well managed. In the time available, there was an introduction to the members and their rolls, there was a good overall view of the situation, and an opportunity to ask questions. I found it all very helpful, thank you.
	5 (Excellent)	
Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm	E /E	Well run. Informative. Gave me a better insight into how to focus my objections.
Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	5 (Excellent)	More time for questions - perhaps ask for them to be submitted in advance if possible so you can answer them as part of the presentation?
	5 (Excellent)	More time for questions - perhaps ask for them to be submitted in advance if possible so you
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm	. , ,	More time for questions - perhaps ask for them to be submitted in advance if possible so you can answer them as part of the presentation?  Confusion at the entrance with late comers. If there was a time limit for entrance it should have highlighted. The meeting itself was reasonably well organised, however could be improved. The Q&A was limited to certain things, for instance a gentleman is concerned that he won't be able to hear skylarks in the morning, I'm afraid that is a nimby thing. I would suggest a system that prior to future meetings suggestions for questions should be asked for and then scrutinized for realism. Another regarding water flooding was raised, would a civil engineer not be better at answering questions on this as I'm sure he may mention the water
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm  Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm	4  5 (Excellent)  4	More time for questions - perhaps ask for them to be submitted in advance if possible so you can answer them as part of the presentation?  Confusion at the entrance with late comers. If there was a time limit for entrance it should have highlighted. The meeting itself was reasonably well organised, however could be improved. The Q&A was limited to certain things, for instance a gentleman is concerned that he won't be able to hear skylarks in the morning, I'm afraid that is a nimby thing. I would suggest a system that prior to future meetings suggestions for questions should be asked for and then scrutinized for realism. Another regarding water flooding was raised, would a civil engineer not be better at answering questions on this as I'm sure he may mention the water table may be at fault or not.  Presentations were clear, the Parish Clerk and Mr Tilsbury the process advisor were very helpful.
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm  Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm  Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm	4 5 (Excellent)	More time for questions - perhaps ask for them to be submitted in advance if possible so you can answer them as part of the presentation?  Confusion at the entrance with late comers. If there was a time limit for entrance it should have highlighted. The meeting itself was reasonably well organised, however could be improved. The Q&A was limited to certain things, for instance a gentleman is concerned that he won't be able to hear skylarks in the morning, I'm afraid that is a nimby thing. I would suggest a system that prior to future meetings suggestions for questions should be asked for and then scrutinized for realism. Another regarding water flooding was raised, would a civil engineer not be better at answering questions on this as I'm sure he may mention the water table may be at fault or not.  Presentations were clear, the Parish Clerk and Mr Tilsbury the process advisor were very helpful.  A roaming Mike would have been the only point that I would make although I think it just wasn't available at our meeting.  It would have helped to hear the questions more clearly. Otherwise it was easy to book onto
Thursday 12 January, 7pm - 8.30pm  Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm  Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm  Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm	4  5 (Excellent)  4	More time for questions - perhaps ask for them to be submitted in advance if possible so you can answer them as part of the presentation?  Confusion at the entrance with late comers. If there was a time limit for entrance it should have highlighted. The meeting itself was reasonably well organised, however could be improved. The Q&A was limited to certain things, for instance a gentleman is concerned that he won't be able to hear skylarks in the morning, I'm afraid that is a nimby thing. I would suggest a system that prior to future meetings suggestions for questions should be asked for and then scrutinized for realism. Another regarding water flooding was raised, would a civil engineer not be better at answering questions on this as I'm sure he may mention the water table may be at fault or not.  Presentations were clear, the Parish Clerk and Mr Tilsbury the process advisor were very helpful.  A roaming Mike would have been the only point that I would make although I think it just wasn't available at our meeting.

Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm	2	I think its highly dangerous for both Steve Tilbury and the Parish Council to pursue this heavily
		biased path of pitching ones objections on Traffic and Hamble Lane - based on the fact that its
		the Achilles heel of Cemex's Application. It is also dangerous to tell the public to adopt the
		same approach. I feel the Parish Council is getting BAD ADVICE.
		It is really important we get ALL issues on the table. If this application goes to appeal we can
		only discuss issues that are already on the table - we cannot suddenly bring new issues up.
Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm	4	It was very hard to hear what the general public was saying. They should have come to the
		front of the hall and used the microphone 🎤.
Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm	4	Well organised and presented. A roving mic for soft spoken attendees would be best. Ideally a
		further 15 minutes for questions would be good. Well received from comments I've heard.
Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm	5 (Excellent)	Some of the speakers were quietly spoken and a roaming microphone would have been
		useful.
Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm	5 (Excellent)	No
Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm	4	
Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm	4	Very informative, well done.
		One small thing when attendies are asking questions or making a point they really do need to
		use the mic as it was difficult to hear, or at least the top table should repeat the question for
		all to hear before answering.
		Thanks and we'll done to all in this fight.
		Perry
Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm	5 (Excellent)	It is gratifying to know that Hamble Parish Council, has taken the initiative to take on board
		the views of the parishioners, as support, and carefully track the proposed changes to our
		village, its environment, and manage, as best we can, the impact these proposals will have on
		years to come on our local community.
Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm	5 (Excellent)	
Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm	5 (Excellent)	
Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm	5 (Excellent)	
Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm	5 (Excellent)	I thought it was really well run and managed, thank you very much!
Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm	5 (Excellent)	
Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm	5 (Excellent)	Impressive turn out and Steve Tilbury was brilliant.
Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm	5 (Excellent)	Very informative and professional
		Main criticism was it wasn't clear where the meeting was, a few of us were late having been to
		other venues and gotten quite wet. We were also locked out and very grumpy security man
		would not let us in
Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm	3	I completely forgot about the meeting! It would have been really useful to have received a
		reminder via text the day before &/or first thing in the morning.
Wednesday 11 January, 1pm - 2.30pm	5 (Excellent)	I mentioned to my wife at the meeting how impressed I was with the
		organisation/management. It was all very professional.
		It was good to see a realistic agenda with knowlegible contributions.
		As a result I have tweaked my draft submission thankyou