|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Date | 12/12/2022 |
| Time | 19:00 - 21:30 |
| Location | Roy Underdown Pavilion, Baron Road, Hamble |
| Present | Clerk - Hamble Parish Council, Andy Thompson, Ian Underdown, Sarah Lehneis, Sheelagh Cohen, Tony Ryan, Trevor Dann, Mark Venables, Tony Moody, Steve Tilbury, Claire Price, Chris Jones (Chair) and Project Manager. |
| Absent | Malcolm Cross, Michelle Nicholson, Simon Hand |
| Note Taker | Clerk - Hamble Parish Council |

### Agenda

## 1 - Welcome

Announcements

* To formally record the Councils thanks to Father Graham for his service to the community of Hamble and to wish him a long and peaceful retirement.
* To record our thanks to Coopervision and BP both of whom have recently arranged tours and briefings for Councillors
* To record our thank to Hamble Aerostructures who are offering us the free use of their facilities in January to host the Future of Hamble Airfield - CEMEX application public meetings.

Dispensations and Interests - Cllr Underdown and Dann declared a dispensation related to Cemex and Cllr Underdown on Fees and Charges related to the DSP.

Cllr Dann expressed as personal interest in appointment of Jess James.

## 2 - Review and approve the minutes of the last meeting.

[**Decision:**](https://app.boarddecisions.com/web/#/groupdecisions?groupId=3e6c947d-b7b3-41c5-826a-80ecebd24b7f&channelId=19:j5zXft0Lu-MZKu2Nf4HxdTy72YiGaQTnr3lQNlKWd8Q1@thread.tacv2&decisionId=va2R1KRDoEi9Txo8UAagWZcAGePh&tenant=c650b653-6b45-40aa-9294-1a6b1ea7ab05)Cllr Underdown proposed, and Cllr Ryan seconded, and all resolved to approved the minutes

## 3 - Public Participation Session

Six members of the public attended but were here to talk to item 4 on the agenda.

## 4 - Hamble Peninsula Against Development

Emma Westmacott Chair of the Group outlined the purpose of the group and terms of reference. They are seeking to:
Show flaws in the process linked to the key areas water, transport and health;
Have looked at other options for the use of the Airfield including a net zero option linked to an income generating option for a solar farm;
Looking to post to 10 Facebook sites covering up to 39k;
Have their own database to enable them to speak to businesses; and
Will seek to drum up support.

Traffic and transport - Robert Hillman - modelling and risk background.
Methodology – Transport Impact Assessment sets out an approach that identifies anything below a 30% increase as insignificant.  Cemex data states that traffic runs at over 31mph throughout any given 24hr period.  Google trips contradicts this and shows that trips are much slower and at lower speeds they are generating higher rates of pollution. Given this the vehicles Cemex will be using as part of their business plan will be unable to generate the number and speed in typical journeys.

Congestion, pollution, and resilience has not been assessed. Weak data and inadequate assessment.

Water management - Paul Coles local resident on Satchell Lane

Seeking to engage a panel of six experts to assess Cemex’s findings. Cemex data suggests that the site is low risk whilst the residents believe it is medium to high risk. If categorised as a high risk it would be refused.

The group has also commissioned a 3d model to show the topology of the site and the water catchment area in relation to the Horse Field (Foreman Homes site), Satchell Lane east of the river and the north edge of the site where the settling pond is sited.
Cemex assume that they can accommodate 100% of the water used in the production process which is felt to be understated. If the amount is greater then the holding pond will need to be larger.

Other sites have been refused due to hydrology issues - [Stop Bengeo Quarry](https://stopbengeoquarry.org.uk/)
The group want to drill boreholes and measure the outflow of the storm drain - using a dye or a radioactive track.
Want us to rubber stamp their data.

Health Impacts - Louise - Physio specialising in long covid and coronary illness and also worked in location with high industrial illnesses.
Not sure that due diligence has been followed by HCC in those being consulted and also wants to know who will be responsible for changes in health impacts in the future. Also cited the health risks linked to the recent Shedfield. [def | Hampshire County Council (hants.gov.uk)](https://planning.hants.gov.uk/Planning/Display/20/01483/HCS#undefined)

Fact finding - Jane Pyle - Areas where quarries has been dismissed. - Bengeo - was site in the plan and refused on water pollution, impact on amenity. Also borrowed the phrase Urban Quarry from Bengeo.
Shedfield - Highways issues and amenity issues. Ella's Law - clean air act - WHO standards.

Chair thanked the residents for their efforts and the quality of what they were doing.

## 5 - Future of Hamble Airfield - Cemex Application

Hampshire County Council (HCC) have confirmed that the final consultation stage in the current application for gravel extraction on Hamble Airfield will start on 9th December 2022 and close on the 16th January 2023.
In advance of the notification the Council has established a Coordination Working Group which will take a risk based approach to the application and the impact on the community and identify tasks and mitigations to reduce the risks.  Where needed these will be allocated to other committees or subgroups with reporting lines back via the relevant committee. Details of the terms of reference are attached to comment and agreement.
Actions so far:

* Social media posts and notice board posters alerting residents to the new consultation timetable
* Microsite updated to incorporate all new documents - single point of contact.
* Provisional room booking at Hamble Aerostructures for public meetings to be held on Wednesday 11th Jan 1- 3.30pm and Thursday 12th Jan 7-8.30pm.
* Draft consultation plan attached for approval
* Lead consultants - fee and contract attached (restricted access)
* Transport Consultants identified - fee and contract attached (restricted access)
* Verbal request to EBC officers for a pan peninsula approach led by EBC on Cemex
* Possible dates for the Regulatory Committee sent to all councillors from February to May 2022

Also attached is a note from Steve Tilbury setting out the next stages in the process.

Steve Tilbury was invited to speak setting out the next stage in the process. Cemex’s responses are best described as the least they need to do and they do not appear to recognise the issues of greatest concern for residents. We are now on the glide path to the application decision. HCC have indicated that they want the application to go to Feb or March for decision and that there is no gain in asking for more information not least as there is no significant dialogue going on. This indicates that Cemex see this as a straightforward application.

Statutory consultees are now responding - MOD and County Archaeologist have responded without objection but expecting Environment Agency, Lead Flood Authority, Highways, Natural England and soil management and EBC. Issues for the restoration have not been developed since the first round of consultation.

We have appointed RPG to look at Transport Modelling for HPC and to provide an analysis of the new data.  Cemex has not done enough to not shift the dial in terms the arguments we have already made.  Reference was also made to the Transport Assessment (TA) in the Review of the Waste and Minerals plan which might be helpful in our case and is being investigated further.

He concluded by saying that having different people arguing different points is fine and as long as we aren't contradictory that can be a better and more effective use of resources.

A question was asked about whether it is common for a council department to behave in the way that Highways have - the response was it appears unusual as they do try to get the response out as soon as possible.
Could it be called in at this stage? - Not at this stage.
What is the timeframe?  Application will go forward for decision in Feb or March 2023.
Do HCC find themselves in a difficult position as the TA implies there is no issue on Hamble Lane despite the work in 2019 stating something different and committing them to a course of action that would involve significant expenditure. Are those two approaches complimentary? Dates of the public meeting were agreed with the Council for 11th for the afternoon and 12th for the evening.
Comms - little and often through all platforms - noticeboards, newsletters, tear out posters, social posts and websites.

## 6 - Hamble 2050 and the Cost of living crisis

Hamble 2050 is now closely aligned with Cemex and has been picked up within the prior item.
Chair outlined the need to stay on top of the Cost of Living issues and that we focus on trying to publicise the help that is there and how to get the help to those in need. Will be looking to pick up the work from the end of first quarter.
Councillor highlighted the government tools that are available and how we will need to share it.
Food Bank, CAB and The Hub already doing work in the village.  At the moment there isn't a lot of footfall and need to think about how we can tap into local businesses. Also promote the food collection point in the Coop can be used for any shop food not just the Coop.
Could one group lead the work to prevent duplication? Hamble Good Neighbours?  Suggested that the ideas are shared outside the meeting.

## 7 - Budget scenerios

Looked at a number of scenarios and the implication of them.  Members to feed back suggestions by Tuesday next week based on a 10% increase in the precept with further measures offered to bridge a £15,000 shortfall. The extra cost of consultants during this year has had an impact on the budget position. Councillors to share suggestion with the Clerk by Tuesday next week.

## 8 - Members issues

None this cycle

## 9 - Recommendations from Committees and Working Groups and delegated decisions

To note the appointment of Jessica James as Admin Assistant (finance) from the 12th December 2022 with the intention of moving into the role of Accounts Assistant in April 2023 and to also appoint DCK Accounting Solutions to support the month end processing and training at a cost of £482.50 + VAT per month.  Member duly noted the appointsments carried out under delegation.

## 10 - Fees and Charges

Each year we review the fees and charges ahead of setting the budget for the next year.  Asset Management Committee looked at the main fees and charges in November and made the following recommendations.  Council are asked to comment on the proposals and agree the fees ahead of the January meeting.
Additional charges are included - Mudland Moorings £487.00 per year  + charge for daily use of the Southern Foreshore of £50 per day.

The Charges were agreed in principle and would be included in the budget. Staff were asked to consider whether a seasonal charge for parking could be introduced to maximise income from visitors in the summer months.

[**Decision:**](https://app.boarddecisions.com/web/#/groupdecisions?groupId=3e6c947d-b7b3-41c5-826a-80ecebd24b7f&channelId=19:j5zXft0Lu-MZKu2Nf4HxdTy72YiGaQTnr3lQNlKWd8Q1@thread.tacv2&decisionId=MdMfKvG-vUCyK3E4_H6Ci5cAKBg0&tenant=c650b653-6b45-40aa-9294-1a6b1ea7ab05)The fees amended in blue were approved unanimously (although Cllr Underdown did vote on the Dinghy Park Fees)

## 11 - Accounts and financial reports

These were not available this month.

## 12 - Exempt Business

To consider passing a resolution under Section 100A(4) of the Local Government Act 1972 in respect of the following item(s) of business on the grounds that it is/they are likely to involve the disclosure of exempt information as defined in paragraphs 1, 2, 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Act. The Schedule 12A categories have been amended and are now subject to the public interest test, in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act 2000. This came into effect on 1st March 2006. It is considered that the following items are exempt from disclosure and that the public interest in not disclosing the information outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information.

## 13 - Staff issue